

Entomocidal Efficacy of Crude Oil and Fractions of *Momordica charantia* (I) Oil Extract Against Cowpea Bruchid *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Fab) Infesting Stored Cowpea Seeds

Oni, M. O.^{1*}, Oyawoye, B.², Adebayo, R.A.¹, Ofuya, T.I.¹ and Ogungbite, O.C.³

¹ Department of Crop, Soil and Pest Management, Federal University of Technology, P. M. B. 704, Akure, Nigeria.

² Department of Biochemistry, Federal University of Technology, Akure.

³ Department of Plant Science, University of Ado-Ekiti, Ado- Ekiti, Nigeria

*Corresponding author: mercyyinka2007@yahoo.co.uk

ABSTRACT

The entomocidal potency of crude and different fractions of *Momordica charantia* oil extracts was evaluated under laboratory condition 28 ± 2 °C and relative humidity of $75 \pm 5\%$. Bioassay of crude oil and different fractions 1;2;3;4;5;6;7;8 and 9 of the plant oil extracts was conducted for its insecticidal efficacy against *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Fab.) at 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 μ l dosages/20g cowpea seeds. All the treatments were potent against the survival of the test beetles as dosages increase with increase in time of exposure. Likewise, it was evident that Fraction 3 appeared to be the most effective as they caused 100% beetle mortality at 96 hours post-treatment. Probit analysis showed that Fraction 3 of the plant oil extract required 40.67 and 71.15 μ l to achieve 50 and 95% highest insect mortality within 48 hours. Fraction 3 of *M. charantia* oil extract of the oil that may possibly have equally played the observed bioactivity accountable for the repellence activity of the beetle by the fraction. However, it is imperative to confirm the active ingredient present in the plant oil fraction and examine its toxicity to mammals.

Key words: *Callosobruchus maculatus*, Repellency, *Momordica charantia*

INTRODUCTION

Callosobruchus maculatus is the most prominent insect pest of cowpea, *Vigna unguiculata*. Cowpea is a staple food consumed in most Nigerian homes as a source of inexpensive protein in both human and animal diet (Ofuya, 2001). Damage done by *Callosobruchus maculatus* impacts negatively on cowpea by affecting its texture, taste, appearance and reduced its nutritional and economic values Oni *et al.* (2011). Ajayi *et al.* (2015) opined that insects alone is capable of causing more than 65% loss of cowpea and if left unchecked can cause total loss of cowpea.

Botanical insecticides have long touted as attractive alternative to obviate the danger associated with synthetic chemical insecticides probably because they are medicinal and eco-friendly (Akinkuolere *et al.*, 2006). In Nigeria, farmers religiously imbibed the use of this hazardous synthetic chemical insecticides based on its quick action both on field and in storage not considering the human and environmental health hazards. Botanicals of various species have been investigated and suggested as promising alternative in the control of insect pests (Oni *et al.*, 2018; Ogungbite, 2015).

Momordica charantia (I) is a medicinal plant. Its medicinal report as hypoglycemic, antioxidative, alleviation of kidney damage, anti-fertility effect, antiviral activity, antimicrobial activity and anticancer activity was reported (Birdee and Yeh, 2010; Abdullahi *et al.*, 2011; Basch *et al.*, 2003; Nerurkar *et al.*, 2006; Leelaprakash *et al.*, 2011 and Ray *et al.*, 2010). We are not aware of any report on insecticidal activity of this plant on any urban insect. It is on this note that the entomocidal potency of crude and different fractions, as well as the active compound present in *Momordica charantia* oil extract against *Callosobruchus maculatus* infesting stored cowpea is being investigated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of insects, culture, cowpea seeds

Cowpea bruchid (*C. maculatus*) used for this research were collected from infested cowpea seeds bought from Erekesan market, Akure, Nigeria. The insects were reared on clean disinfected cowpea seeds, variety Ife-brown obtained from the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) Ibadan, Nigeria. This research work was

carried out at the Entomology Research Laboratory of the Department of Crop, Soil and Pest Management Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria at temperature $28 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ and relative humidity $75 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ arranged undisturbed until when needed in plastic containers covered with muslin –cloth.

Plant collection and identification

M. charantia 'Ejinrin' in Yoruba language, in Nigeria), fresh leaves and stems of were sourced from teaching and research farm of same institution and the identification was done at the Department of Crop, Soil and Pest Management, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria It was air-dried in the open laboratory and milled into fine powder using Vitamix 5200 blender, sieved in 3mm mesh and kept in a labeled plastic container prior to use.

Extraction of plant oil

The powdered *M. charantia* plant was subjected to cold extraction using ethanol as solvent, to extract the crude oil. About 150g of the pulverized plant was soaked in an extraction bottle containing 500ml of absolute ethanol for three days. The solution was decanted on the fourth day and the solvent was separated from the oil using rotary evaporator. The oil extract was air-dried for traces of solvents to evaporate off. The extract was fractionalized into different fractions at the Research Laboratory Department of Biochemistry, Federal University of Technology, Akure. The fractions were then kept in the refrigerator prior to use.

Fractionalization of the oil extract

A silica gel 80-120 mesh (23g) was wet packed in a chromatographic column and diluted with ethanol. The methanolic extract (20ml) was placed at the top of the gel and eluted with ethanol. Fractions of 5ml at a time were collected in 10ml bottle and labelled 1; 2; 3; 4; 5; 6; 7; 8 and 9 successively. The fractions were then kept in room temperature prior Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC).

Thin Layer Chromatography

Thin layer chromatography was performed on pre-coated 7 x 7 cm and 0.25 mm thick plates prepared by using silica gel G for TLC were left overnight for air drying. These plates were dried and developed in suitable solvents for rapid screening, methanol: n-hexane: acetone in the ratio 7:2:1. The plates were run in the above solvent systems and dried at room temperature. The plates was sprayed with 20% tetraoxosulphate (vi) acid, then heated in an oven at 105°C for 15minutes. Different bands were observed and corresponding RF values are determined. (Barakat, 2011; Zeeshan *et al.*, 2012; Yang *et al.*, 2014).

Toxicity assay

M. charantia oil extracts pure compounds against the test insects was determined by Zhou *et al.*, (2012). Fractions 1; 2; 3; 4; 5; 6; 7; 8 and 9 of the oil was prepared into dosages of 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 μl . Each fraction was mixed thoroughly with twenty grams of cowpea seeds separately weighed into 250ml plastic containers with lid. Equally 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 μl of the crude oil of *M. charantia* oil were thoroughly mixed separately with cowpea seeds in the plastic container and 0.5ml ethanol were added into each concentration to serve as a spreader and left for 1hour to allow the solvent to evaporate. Two negative control experiments were set up, one with solvent alone (1.0ml ethanol) and the other one with neither solvent nor extract (untreated control), while a positive control was also set up using 0.001ml DDVP (Dichlorovos). Ten unsexed pairs of newly emerged *C. Maculates* were introduced into each plastic container and beetle mortality was observed at 24: 48: 72 and 96 h post treatment. Each treatment was replicated three times.

Repellency test

The repellency effect of crude and fractions of oil extract of *M. charantia* against *C. maculatus* was assessed by dividing filter papers into two equal parts and one side was treated with 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 μl of each concentration of the crude oil and fractions of *M. charantia* the second half of the filter paper was treated with 2 μl of ethanol and was used as control. Both the treated half and the control half were air-dried for 15minutes to evaporate the solvents. A full disk was carefully remade by attaching the treated half to the negative control half with tape. Each reassembled filter paper after treatment with solid glue was placed in a petri dish with the seam oriented in one of four different randomly selected directions to avoid any insecticidal stimuli affecting the distribution of insects. Ten unsexed newly emerged *C. maculatus* insects was released into the center of each filter paper disk and the petri dish was covered. There are three replicates for this experiment. Counts of insects present on each strip were made after 4: 6 and 24 hours. The percentage repellency (PR) of each fraction was then calculated using the formula.

$$\text{PR (\%)} = [(N_c - N_t) / (N_c + N_t)] \times 100/1$$

Where N_c is the number of insects present in the negative control half and N_t is the number of insects present in the treated half. As a positive control a commercial repellent dichlorovos or DDVP was used under the same conditions as the fractions.

Table 1: Percentage mortality of adult *C. maculatus* treated with different dosages of crude and fractions of *M. charantia* oil extract

| Crude /Fractions | Dosage (µl) | Percentage mortality in hours | | | |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | 24 | 48 | 72 | 96 |
| Crude oil | 10 | 8.33±2.41 ^{ab} | 20.00±1.89 ^{bc} | 43.33±1.33 ^{fg} | 58.33±1.67 ^d |
| | 20 | 18.33±1.67 ^{cd} | 26.67±1.33 ^{cd} | 46.67±1.33 ^{fg} | 65.00±1.87 ^f |
| | 30 | 20.00±0.00 ^{cd} | 41.67±1.41 ^{ef} | 56.67±1.33 ^{gh} | 71.67±1.67 ^f |
| | 40 | 23.33±1.33 ^d | 43.33±2.67 ^{ef} | 65.00±1.89 ^h | 86.67±1.33 ^{gh} |
| | 50 | 25.00±1.89 ^{de} | 55.00±1.89 ^f | 66.67±1.33 ^h | 98.33±1.67 ^h |
| Fraction 1 | 10 | 6.67±1.33 ^{ab} | 23.33±1.33 ^c | 33.33±1.33 ^{ef} | 41.67±1.67 ^c |
| | 20 | 11.67±1.67 ^{bc} | 26.67±1.33 ^{cd} | 40.00±0.00 ^f | 51.67±1.67 ^d |
| | 30 | 20.00±0.00 ^d | 41.67±2.67 ^{ef} | 43.33±1.67 ^{fg} | 63.33±1.33 ^d |
| | 40 | 25.00±1.89 ^d | 56.67±1.67 ^f | 60.00±1.89 ^h | 76.67±2.67 ^f |
| | 50 | 31.67±1.67 ^{de} | 56.67±1.33 ^f | 66.67±1.33 ^h | 83.33±1.33 ^g |
| Fraction 2 | 10 | 13.33±1.33 ^{bc} | 20.00±1.89 ^{bc} | 31.67±1.67 ^e | 46.67±1.33 ^{cd} |
| | 20 | 30.00±1.89 ^{de} | 33.33±1.67 ^{de} | 46.67±1.33 ^g | 58.33±1.67 ^{de} |
| | 30 | 41.67±1.67 ^e | 45.00±1.89 ^{ef} | 63.33±1.67 ^{hi} | 71.67±1.67 ^f |
| | 40 | 51.67±1.67 ^f | 57.67±1.67 ^{fg} | 71.67±1.67 ⁱ | 78.33±1.67 ^{fg} |
| | 50 | 58.33±1.67 ^f | 61.67±1.67 ^g | 76.67±1.67 ⁱ | 88.33±1.67 ^g |
| Fraction 3 | 10 | 33.33±1.33 ^{de} | 56.67±1.33 ^{fg} | 63.33±1.33 ^{hi} | 71.67±1.41 ^f |
| | 20 | 46.67±1.33 ^{ef} | 63.33±1.33 ^{gh} | 71.67±1.67 ^{hi} | 75.00±1.89 ^{fg} |
| | 30 | 50.00±1.89 ^f | 73.33±1.67 ^{hi} | 78.33±1.67 ^{ij} | 83.33±1.33 ^g |
| | 40 | 56.67±1.67 ^{fg} | 78.33±1.67 ^{hi} | 83.33±1.67 ^j | 88.33±2.67 ^g |
| | 50 | 61.67±1.67 ^g | 80.00±0.00 ⁱ | 95.00±1.89 ^k | 100.00±0.00 ^h |
| Fraction 4 | 10 | 6.67±1.33 ^a | 26.67±1.33 ^{cd} | 51.67±1.67 ^g | 58.33±1.67 ^d |
| | 20 | 13.33±1.33 ^{bc} | 33.33±1.33 ^{de} | 58.33±1.67 ^g | 68.33±1.41 ^e |
| | 30 | 20.00±0.00 ^{cd} | 48.33±1.41 ^{ef} | 66.67±1.33 ^h | 81.67±1.67 ^{gh} |
| | 40 | 23.33±1.33 ^{cd} | 51.67±1.67 ^{fg} | 75.00±2.00 ^{hi} | 88.33±2.67 ^{gh} |
| | 50 | 28.33±1.67 ^{de} | 60.00±1.89 ^g | 91.67±1.67 ^k | 100.00±0.00 ^h |
| Fraction 5 | 10 | 3.33±1.33 ^a | 9.67±1.33 ^{ab} | 20.00±0.00 ^{cd} | 33.33±1.33 ^b |
| | 20 | 6.67±1.33 ^{ab} | 18.00±0.00 ^{bc} | 36.67±1.67 ^{ef} | 50.33±1.33 ^{cd} |
| | 30 | 11.67±1.67 ^{bc} | 26.67±1.67 ^{cd} | 41.00±1.89 ^{fg} | 53.33±1.67 ^d |
| | 40 | 16.67±1.67 ^{cd} | 32.33±1.33 ^d | 45.00±1.89 ^{fg} | 56.67±1.67 ^d |
| | 50 | 18.33±1.67 ^{cd} | 38.00±1.89 ^e | 53.33±1.33 ^g | 68.33±1.67 ^e |
| Fraction 6 | 10 | 3.33±1.33 ^a | 10.00±1.77 ^b | 13.33±1.33 ^c | 36.67±1.33 ^b |
| | 20 | 6.67±1.33 ^{ab} | 13.33±1.33 ^{bc} | 31.00±0.00 ^d | 53.33±1.33 ^d |
| | 30 | 18.33±1.67 ^{cd} | 26.67±1.67 ^{cd} | 31.67±1.67 ^e | 58.33±1.67 ^d |
| | 40 | 21.67±1.67 ^{cd} | 28.33±1.67 ^{cd} | 45.00±1.89 ^{fg} | 63.33±1.41 ^e |
| | 50 | 26.67±1.67 ^{de} | 36.67±1.67 ^{de} | 48.33±1.67 ^g | 75.00±1.89 ^f |
| Fraction 7 | 10 | 6.67±1.33 ^a | 15.00±1.89 ^b | 31.67±1.67 ^e | 43.33±1.33 ^c |
| | 20 | 13.33±1.33 ^{bc} | 28.33±1.67 ^{cd} | 48.33±1.67 ^g | 60.00±0.00 ^d |
| | 30 | 11.67±1.67 ^{cd} | 31.67±1.33 ^{de} | 53.33±1.67 ^g | 63.33±1.33 ^{de} |
| | 40 | 18.33±1.67 ^{cd} | 36.67±1.33 ^{de} | 55.00±0.00 ^{gh} | 66.67±1.33 ^e |
| | 50 | 23.33±1.67 ^d | 43.33±1.67 ^e | 60.00±1.89 ^h | 76.67±1.33 ^f |
| Fraction 8 | 10 | 0.00±0.00 ^a | 10.00±0.00 ^{ab} | 23.33±1.67 ^c | 48.33±1.67 ^{cd} |
| | 20 | 0.00±0.00 ^a | 11.67±1.67 ^b | 28.33±1.67 ^{cd} | 53.33±1.67 ^d |
| | 30 | 10.00±0.00 ^b | 18.33±1.67 ^{bc} | 41.67±1.67 ^{fg} | 55.00±1.89 ^d |
| | 40 | 11.67±1.67 ^{bc} | 23.33±1.67 ^c | 46.67±1.67 ^g | 66.67±1.67 ^{ef} |
| | 50 | 15.00±1.89 ^{bc} | 30.00±0.00 ^e | 56.67±2.41 ^g | 71.67±2.41 ^f |
| Fraction 9 | 10 | 6.67±1.33 ^a | 18.33±1.67 ^a | 31.67±1.67 ^e | 45.00±1.89 ^c |
| | 20 | 10.00±0.00 ^{bc} | 25.00±1.89 ^{cd} | 38.33±1.67 ^{ef} | 55.00±1.89 ^{df} |
| | 30 | 13.33±1.67 ^{bc} | 33.33±1.67 ^{de} | 51.67±1.67 ^g | 63.33±1.67 ^f |
| | 40 | 18.33±1.67 ^{cd} | 31.67±2.41 ^{de} | 56.67±1.67 ^{gh} | 65.00±1.89 ^f |
| | 50 | 20.00±0.00 ^{cd} | 40.00±0.00 ^e | 61.67±1.67 ^h | 73.33±1.67 ^{fg} |
| Negative control 1 | | 0.00±0.00 ^a | 0.00±0.00 ^a | 3.33±1.33 ^a | 3.33±1.33 ^a |
| Negative control 2 | | 0.00±0.00 ^a | 6.67±1.33 ^a | 6.67±1.33 ^a | 6.67±1.33 ^a |
| Positive control | | 73.33±1.33 ^h | 100.00±0.00 ^j | 100.00±0.00 ^k | 100.00±0.00 ^h |

Each value is the mean ± standard error of three replicates. Values followed by the same letters are not significantly ($p > 0.05$) different from each other using New Duncan's Multiple Range Test. * Note: Negative control (1) is treatment with neither oil nor ethanol, Negative control (2) is treatment with only ethanol and positive control is treatment with DDVP

Statistical analysis

All the data were subjected to one-way analysis of variance at 5% significant level and means were separated with New Duncan's Multiple Range Test version 17. Data obtained from beetle's mortality were subjected to regression analysis to calculate the lethal doses LD₅₀ and LD₉₅ of the oil extracts using probit analysis (Finney, 1971).

RESULTS

Mortality of *C. maculatus* exposed to crude oil and different fractions of *M. charantia* oil extracts

Regardless of the dosage and the period of exposure, the crude and different fractions of *M. charantia* oil extracts significantly affected the survival of adult insects. Significant differences existed between the treatments at ($p < 0.05$) in percentage adult mortality (Table 1). A comparison of the crude and all tested fractions indicated that fractions 3 and 4 oil extracts has significantly highest adult mortality (100%) at 50 μ l /96hr. This was not significantly different from crude extract that caused 98.33% insect mortality at similar dosage and exposure time. 10 μ l dosage of all treatments and exposure periods proved far less effective for causing below 50% insect mortality. On the contrary, fractions 1; 2; 5; 6; 7; 8 and 9 recorded above 50% adult mortality at 20 -50 μ l/ 96hr of exposure respectively.

Percentage Repellent activity of fraction 3 of *M. charantia* against *C. maculatus*.

Table 2 showed the percentage repellent of *C. maculatus* by fraction 3 of *M. charantia* oil extract. The result varied with dosage and time of exposure to tested fraction. Within 24hours of observation, none of the dosages repelled up to 50% of the insect. Regardless of the period of exposure, the effect of 10; 20 and 30 μ l were not significantly different from negative control, but significantly ($P < 0.05$) different from the positive control. However, within 24hours of exposure, the plant dosages 40 and 50 μ l were not significantly ($P > 0.05$) different from the positive control.

Table 3: Lethal dose (LD₅₀ and LD₉₅) of *M. charantia* crude oil and fractions required to achieve 50 and 95% mortality after 48h post-treatment.

| Treatments | Slope \pm SE | Intercept \pm SE | X ² | LD ₅₀ FL@ 95% | LD ₉₅ FL@95% | Sig. |
|------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| Crude | 1.37 \pm 0.14 | -0.92 \pm 0.07 | 30.22 | 45.23(43.34- 47.35) | 77.27(75.53-79.14) | 0.004 |
| Fraction 1 | 1.47 \pm 0.14 | -0.86 \pm 0.07 | 35.44 | 43.76(41.56-45.33) | 76.74(74.68-78.08) | 0.001 |
| Fraction 2 | 1.68 \pm 0.11 | -1.30 \pm 0.07 | 40.93 | 42.72(41.22-43.45) | 74.47(72.86-76.33) | 0.001 |
| Fraction 3 | 1.02 \pm 0.14 | 0.13 \pm 0.06 | 9.7 | 40.67(38.37-42.67) | 71.15(69.19-73.98) | 0.718 |
| Fraction 4 | 1.59 \pm 0.19 | -0.87 \pm 0.09 | 12.05 | 41.33(39.41-43.89) | 75.08(73.14-77.22) | 0.360 |

Note: LD: lethal dosage; SE: standard error; x²: Chi-square; FL: Fiducial limits

Table 2: Percentage Repellent activity of fraction 3 of *M. charantia* oil against *C. maculatus*

| Dosages (μ l) | Percentage repellence in hours | | |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | 4 | 8 | 24 |
| 10 | 6.67 \pm 2.67 ^a | 13.33 \pm 2.67 ^a | 20.00 \pm 0.00 ^a |
| 20 | 6.67 \pm 2.67 ^a | 13.33 \pm 2.67 ^a | 26.67 \pm 2.67 ^a |
| 30 | 20.00 \pm 2.54 ^a | 33.33 \pm 2.67 ^a | 46.67 \pm 2.67 ^b |
| 40 | 46.67 \pm 2.67 ^b | 66.67 \pm 2.67 ^b | 86.67 \pm 2.67 ^c |
| 50 | 53.33 \pm 2.67 ^b | 73.33 \pm 2.67 ^b | 93.33 \pm 2.67 ^c |
| Negative control | 13.33 \pm 2.67 ^a | 13.33 \pm 2.67 ^a | 20.00 \pm 0.00 ^a |
| Positive control | 80.00 \pm 2.54 ^c | 100.00 \pm 0.00 ^c | 100.00 \pm 0.00 ^c |

Each value is mean \pm standard error of three replicates. Values followed by the same letters are not significantly ($P > 0.05$) different from each other using New Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

Lethal dosage required to achieve 50 and 95% mortality of *C. maculatus* exposed to crude oil and different fractions *M. charantia* after 48h post-treatment

The amount of the dosage required to achieve 50 and 95% mortality of *C. maculatus* varied among crude and fractions 1; 2; 3 and 4 of *M. charantia* oil extract. (Table 3). Fraction 3 proved the most effective treatment, as it require 40.67 and 71.15 μ l dosages to attain 50 and 95% insect mortality within 48hr post treatment.

Lethal dosage required to achieve 50 and 95% mortality of *C. maculatus* exposed to crude oil and different fractions *M. charantia* after 72h post-treatment

Lethal dosage required to achieve 50 and 95% mortality of the beetle was presented in Table 4. The table indicated that higher amount of fractions 5; 6; 7; 8 and 9 of *M. charantia* oil were needed to achieve 50 and 90% mortality as reflected in their fiducial limits. However, fraction 7 proved more effective on the beetle than other fractions as it requires 45.30 and 77.72 μ l / 72hr to achieve 50 and 90% insect mortality.

Table 4: Lethal dosage of (LD₅₀ and LD₉₅) *M. charantia* fractions required to achieve 50 and 90% insect mortality at 72 h post treatment

| Treatments | Slope ±SE | Intercept ±SE | X ² | LD ₅₀ FL@ 95% | LD ₉₅ FL@95% | Sig. |
|------------|-----------|---------------|----------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| Fraction 5 | 3.77±0.36 | -3.59±0.29 | 9.01 | 55.29(53.67-57.43) | 84.53(82.35-86.57) | 0.772 |
| Fraction 6 | 3.83±0.35 | -3.45±0.27 | 12.33 | 52.33(51.27-54.35) | 80.45(78.54-82.27) | 0.501 |
| Fraction 7 | 2.22±0.31 | -1.71±0.24 | 10.31 | 45.30(43.33-47.00) | 77.72(73.35-79.45) | 0.684 |
| Fraction 8 | 1.32±0.15 | 0.34±0.11 | 59.02 | 65.43(53.23-57.33) | 90.34(88.54-92.27) | 0.001 |
| Fraction 9 | 1.72±0.11 | -1.19±0.07 | 67.24 | 60.67(59.22-62.34) | 89.36(88.29-91.58) | 0.001 |

Note: LD: lethal dosage; SE: standard error; x²: Chi-square; FL: Fiducial limits

DISCUSSION

The use of plant materials has remained the major weapons amongst the tropical zones, farmers even before the discovery and commercial sources of nowadays synthetic chemical insecticides (Oni, 2014). Botanicals of different species have proven insecticidal against wide range of stored product insects and being suggested as promising alternative to the use of chemical insecticide probably because, they are believed to have low or no mammalian toxicity (Isman, 2006)

The result of this study provides empirical evidence that *M. charantia* oil extract have entomocidal potential to varying degrees in conferring protection of cowpea against infestation of *C. maculatus*. In order words, the effectiveness of the oil extract was dependent of the treatments /dosages/time of exposure.

It is evident that the ability of any plant material to act as an insecticide depend on its ability to cause the mortality of the insects and thus the need to fractionate the plant oil into different fractions to justify the particular fraction of entomocidal potential. All treatments at 20-50µl/exposure periods effected high insect mortality when compared to 10µl dosage with lower mortality figures.

Moreover, at 48h, probit analysis reflected that fraction 3 oil extract, proved the most effective in that it exhibits the highest insect mortality. Similarly, the repellency of fraction 3 oil extract in this research varied with dosage/exposure period, this was in agreement with Oni et.al 2018; Yang et.al 2014 and Adesina et.al 2016. The high insect mortality in this research could be due to the ability of the fractions to disrupt normal respiratory process and its feeding on seeds coated with oil fraction thus leading to systemic poisoning (Ashamo et.al (2013); Ileke and Olotuah,(2013) ;Oni (2014) ; Oni et.al (2018). Fraction 3 *M. charantia* oil extracts offered great potential than other treatments in this study as toxicants capable of proffering protection to stored cowpea seeds against infestation by *C. maculatus*. The plant is readily available and native to the tropical region and widely grown in India and other part of the Indian subcontinent, Southeast Asia, China, Africa and the Carribean (Warrier et al; 1995).

Furthermore, this research results suggest the need to characterize the oil fractions, to establish the active compounds of insecticidal potential present in the plant and the toxicology assay to ascertain safe consumption of treated stored products by mammals.

REFERENCES

- Abdollahi, M., Zuki, A. B., Rezaeizadeh, A., Goh Y. M. and Noordin M. M. (2011): Effects of *Momordica charantia* aqueous extract on renal histopathological changes associated with streptozotocin-induced diabetes mellitus type II in neonatal rats. *Journal of Medicinal Plant Research* 5: 1779-1787.
- Adesina, J. M., Ofuya, T. I., and Rajashekar, Y. (2016): Efficacy of some plant extracts against cotton strainers, *Dysdercus superstitionus* (Herrich Schaffer) (Hemiptera: Pyrrhocoridae). *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 4(4): 170-174
- Ajayi, O. E., Balusu, R., Morawo, T. O., Zebelo, S., and Fadamiro H. (2015): Semiochemical modulation of host preference of *Callosobruchus maculatus* on legume seeds. *Journal of Stored Product Research* 63: 31-37
- Akinkuolere, R. O., Adedire, C. O. and Odeyemi, O. O. (2006): Laboratory evaluation of the toxic properties of forest anchomates, *Anchomanes difformis* against pulse beetle *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Coleoptera:Bruchidae). *Insect Science*. 13: 25-29.
- Ashamo, M. O., Odeyemi, O. O. and Ogungbite, O. C. (2013): Protection of cowpea, *Vigna unguiculata* L. (Walp.) with *Newbouldia laevis* (Seem.) extracts against infestation by *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Fabricius). Ach. Phytopath. Plant Protection, 46(11): 1295-1306.
- Barakat, D. A: (2011): Insecticidal and Antifeedant Activities and Chemical Composition of (Beauv) powder on five beetles of stored produce. *Journal of Entomology*. 4(1): 51-55.
- Basch, E., Gabardi, S. and Ulbricht, C. (2003): Bitter melon (*Momordica charantia*): A review of efficacy and safety. *America Journal of Health Science Pharmacology* 60: 356-359

- Birdee, G. S. and Yeh, G. (2010): Complementary and alternative medicine therapies for diabetes: A clinical review. *Clin. Diabetes*, 28: 147-155.
- Finney, D. J. (1971): *Probit Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, London, 333pp.
- Ileke, K. D. and Olotuah, O. F. (2012): Bioactivity of *Anacardium occidentale* (L.) and *Allium sativum* (L.) powders and oils extracts against cowpea bruchid, *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Fab.). [Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae]. 4: 1
- Isman, M. B. (2006): Botanical insecticides, deterrents, and repellents in modern agriculture and an increasingly regulated world. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 51: 45-66.
- Leelaprakash, G., Rose, J. C., Gowtham, B. M., Javvaji, P. K. and Prasad, A. S. (2011): *In vitro* antimicrobial and antioxidant activity of *Momordica charantia* leaves. *Pharmacophore*, 2(4):244 -252.
- Nerurkar, P. V., Lee, Y. K., Linden, E. H., Lim, S., Pearson, L., Frank, J. and Nerurkar, V. R. (2006): Lipid lowering effects of *Momordica charantia* (Bitter melon) in HIV-1-protease inhibitor-treated human hepatoma cells, HepG2. *British Journal of Pharmacology*, 148: 1156-1164.
- Ofuya, T. I. (2001): Pest of Stored Cereals and Pulses in Nigeria. In: Ofuya, T. I. and Lale, N. E. S., *Biology, Ecology and control of insect pests of stored Food Legumes*, Dave Collins Publications, Nigeria. pp 25- 58
- Ogungbite, O. C. (2015): Entomopoisn efficacy of different parts of *New bouldialaevis* against *Callosobruchus maculatus* in Storage. *International Journal of Research Studies Microbiology Biotechnology* 1(1): 6-14.
- Oni, M. O. (2014): Entomotoxic efficacy of cayenne pepper, sweet pepper and long cayenne pepper oil extracts against *Sitophilus zeamais* infesting maize grain. *Molecular Entomology*, 5(5) : 37-44
- Oni, M. O. (2011): insecticidal activity of extracts from three pepper cultivars (*Capsicum spp.*) on cowpea seed beetle, *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Fab.) (Coleoptera:Bruchidae) *Applied Tropical Agriculture*, 15 (1&2): 134-140
- Oni, M. O., Ogungbite, O. C. and Ofuya, T. I. (2018): Biotoxic efficacy of different fractions of *Acalypha godseffiana* oil extracts against *Callosobruchus maculates* infesting stored cowpea. *Medicinal Plant Research*, 8(2) 8-13.
- Ray, R. B., Raychoudhuri A., Steele, R. and Nerurkar, P. (2010): Bitter melon (*Momordica charantia*) extract inhibits breast cancer cell proliferation by modulating cell cycle regulatory genes and promotes apoptosis. *Cancer Res.*, 70: 1925-1931.
- Warrier, P. K., Nambiar, V. P. K. and Ramakutty, V. P. K. (1995): *Indian Medicinal Plants: A Compendium of 500 Species*. Orient Longman Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad, India, pp: 393-394.
- Yang, Z., Wang, C. F., You, C. X., Geng Z. F., Sun, R. Q., Gou, S. S., Liu, Z. L. and Deug, Z. W. (2014): Bioactivity of essential oil of *Litsea cubeba* from China and its main compounds against two stored product insects, *Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology*, 17: 459-466.
- Zeeshan, M., Rizvi, S. M. D., Khan, M. S., and Kumar, A. (2012): Isolation, partial purification and evaluation of bioactive compounds from leaves of *Ageratum houstonianum*. *Excli Journal*, 11:78-88.
